## Addendum to "Field Theory of the Two-Dimensional Ising Model: Equivalence to the Free Particle One-Dimensional Dirac Equation"

Richard A. Ferrell<sup>1</sup>

Received August 15, 1973

An essential step in an earlier work<sup>(1)</sup> is the disentanglement of the small operator  $\mathcal{O}$  from the large operator 2Jn in the expression  $M = e^{2Jn+\mathcal{O}}$ . Substituting Eq. (43) into Eq. (39) of Ref. 1, we can write the latter in the form

$$e^{-Jn}Me^{-Jn} = e^{-Jn}e^{2Jn+\theta}e^{-Jn} = 1 + [(\sinh 2J)/2J]\theta$$
(69)

If  $\mathcal{O}$  commuted with n, we would expect to obtain

$$e^{\emptyset} \cong 1 + \emptyset \tag{70}$$

to first order in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Thus the effect of the lack of commutativity is to introduce the correction factor  $(\sinh 2J)/2J$ . For an isotropic lattice  $(\sinh 2J = 1)$  the correction factor amounts to 1.13, and ignoring it would lead to a 13% error. This kind of problem is familiar in the theory of Lie algebras<sup>(2,3)</sup> and we will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.

<sup>© 1974</sup> Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording, or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher.

give here an alternative derivation of the correction factor as an application of the well-known Campbell<sup>(4)</sup>-Baker<sup>(5)</sup>-Hausdorff<sup>(6)</sup> (CBH) formula. Let  $A = n, B = (1/2J)\emptyset, \lambda = 2J$ , and

$$F(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda A/2} e^{\lambda(A+B)} e^{-\lambda A/2}$$
(71)

From the general CBH formula we know that the general term in the Taylor expansion of  $F(\lambda)$  can be expressed in terms of multiple commutators of A and B. But the fact that we are working only to the first order in B brings about a simplification which is most evident in the first derivative:

$$F'(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda A/2}Ae^{\lambda(A+B)}e^{-\lambda A/2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda A/2}e^{\lambda(A+B)}Ae^{-\lambda A/2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda A/2}(A+B)e^{\lambda(A+B)}e^{-\lambda A/2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda A/2}e^{\lambda(A+B)}(A+B)e^{-\lambda A/2} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda A/2}Be^{\lambda(A+B)}e^{-\lambda A/2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda A/2}e^{\lambda(A+B)}Be^{-\lambda A/2} \cong \frac{1}{2}e^{-\lambda A/2}Be^{\lambda A/2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\lambda A/2}Be^{-\lambda A/2}$$
(72)

This shows that  $F'(\lambda)$  is an even function of  $\lambda$ , so that only even terms appear in its Taylor series,

$$F'(\lambda) = F'(0) + (\lambda^2/2!)F'''(0) + (\lambda^4/4!)F^{\nu}(0) + \cdots$$
(73)

with the coefficients given by

$$F'(0) = B \tag{74a}$$

$$F'''(0) = \frac{1}{4}[A, [A, B]]$$
(74b)

$$F^{\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{16} [A, [A, [A, [A, B]]]]$$
(74c)

and so forth. Now a further simplification enters because of the special relationship of the operators A and B. B creates or annihilates pairs, so that each commutation with A (the occupation number) gives the factor  $\pm 2$ . Consequently all of the odd derivations are equal,

$$F'''(0) = F^{v}(0) = \dots = B \tag{75}$$

and as a result of this drastic simplification Eq. (73) can be summed to

$$F'(\lambda) = B \cosh \lambda \tag{76}$$

which can also be obtained directly from Eq. (72). Integrating with respect to  $\lambda$ , we find

$$F(2J) = F(0) + \int_{0}^{2J} F'(\lambda) \, d\lambda = 1 + B \int_{0}^{2J} \cosh \lambda \, d\lambda$$
  
= 1 + B sinh 2J = 1 + [(sinh 2J)/2J]0 (77)

with the correction factor identical to that in Eq. (69).

In conclusion, the author wishes to thank Prof. C.-H. Woo for a provocative comment which prompted this alternative derivation.

101

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. A. Ferrell, J. Stat. Phys. 8(3):265 (1973).
- 2. N. Jacobsen, Lie Algebras, Interscience Tracts No. 10, Wiley, New York (1962).
- 3. J.-P. Serre, Lie Algebras and Lie Groups, Benjamin, New York (1965).
- 4. J. E. Campbell, Proc. London Math. Soc. 29:14 (1898).
- 5. H. F. Baker, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 3:24 (1905).
- 6. F. Hausdorff, Ber. Saechsischen Akad. Wiss. (Math. Phys. Kl.) Leipzig 58:19 (1906).